

1 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
A Limited Liability Partnership
2 Including Professional Corporations
JILL M. PIETRINI (Cal. Bar No. 138335)
3 jpietrini@sheppardmullin.com
PAUL A. BOST (Cal. Bar No. 261531)
4 pbost@sheppardmullin.com
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600
5 Los Angeles, California 90067-6055
Telephone: (310) 228-3700 / Facsimile: (310) 228-3701

6 Attorneys for Defendants
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 WESTERN DIVISION

11 BETWEEN THE LINES
PRODUCTIONS, LLC a California
12 limited liability company,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 LIONS GATE ENTERTAINMENT
CORP., a British Columbia corporation,
16 and SUMMIT ENTERTAINMENT,
LLC, a Delaware limited liability
17 company,

18 Defendants.
19

Case No. 2:14-cv-00104-R (PJWx)

**NOTICE OF DENIAL OF
PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR A
WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO
VACATE JUDGE RAKOFF'S
TRANSFER ORDER OF JANUARY
6, 2014**

Judge: Hon. Manuel L. Real

20 Defendants Lions Gate Entertainment Corp. and Summit Entertainment, LLC
21 (collectively, "Defendants") hereby notify the Court of the Second Circuit Court of
22 Appeals' ruling on plaintiff Between the Lines Productions, LLC's ("Plaintiff")
23 Petition for a Writ of Mandamus to Vacate Judge Rakoff's Transfer Order of
24 January 6, 2014 ("Petition"). By order issued yesterday, May 14, 2014, the Second
25 Circuit Court of Appeals has denied the Petition. A true and correct copy of the
26 Second Circuit Court of Appeals' order is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and is
27 docketed as Document 16 in Case No. 14-920. The Second Circuit Court of
28

1 Appeals denied the Petition without oral argument and without requesting
2 opposition briefing by Defendants.

3
4 Respectfully submitted,

5 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
6

7 Dated: May 15, 2014

By: /s/ Paul A. Bost

8 Jill M. Pietrini

9 Paul A. Bost

10 Attorneys for Defendants
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 PROOF OF SERVICE

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and **not a party to this action**. I
4 am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1600, Los Angeles, CA 90067-6055.

5 On May 15, 2014, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as
6 **NOTICE OF DENIAL OF PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR A WRIT OF**
7 **MANDAMUS TO VACATE JUDGE RAKOFF'S TRANSFER ORDER OF**
JANUARY 6, 2014 on the interested parties in this action as follows:

8 James H. Freeman, Esq.
9 J.H. Freeman Law
3 Columbus Circle, 15 FL
New York, NY 10019
10 Tel: (212) 931-8535
Fax: (212) 496-5870
11 james@ihfreemanlaw.com

Steve Lowe, Esq.
LOWE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
11400 Olympic Boulevard, Suite 640
Los Angeles, CA 90064
Tel: (310) 477-5811
Fax: (310) 477-7672
steve@lowelaw.com

12 **BY CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING:** I electronically filed the
13 document(s) with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. Participants in the
14 case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system.
Participants in the case who are not registered CM/ECF users will be served by mail or by
15 other means permitted by the court rules.

16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America
that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of
17 the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

18 Executed on May 15, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.

19
20 /s/ Lynne Thompson
Lynne Thompson

21 SMRH:423806955.1
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

S.D.N.Y.-N.Y.C.
13-cv-3584
13-cv-8899
Rakoff, J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 14th day of May, two thousand fourteen.

Present:

Amalya L. Kearse,
Chester J. Straub,
Susan L. Carney,
Circuit Judges.

In re Between the Lines Productions, L.L.C.,

14-920

Petitioner.

Petitioner has filed a petition for a writ of mandamus. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the mandamus petition is DENIED because Petitioner has not demonstrated that exceptional circumstances warrant the requested relief. *See In re von Bulow*, 828 F.2d 94, 96 (2d Cir. 1987).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

 